

FORM PTO-1500 (Modified)
(REV 11-2000)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES

513-4 PCT/US

DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (IF KNOWN, SEE 37 CFR

CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

10/031105

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED

PCT/FI00/00643

13 July 2000

15 July 1999

TITLE OF INVENTION

System and Method for Controlling the Movements of Container Handling Device

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

Olavi Jussila and Jari Kaiturimäki

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
 2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
 3. ☐ This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). The submission must include items (5), (6), (9) and (24) indicated below.
 4. ☒ The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (Article 31).
 5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371 (c) (2))
 - a. ☐ is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☒ has been communicated by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
 6. ☒ An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
 - a. ☒ is attached hereto.
 - b. ☐ has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
 7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(3))
 - a. ☐ are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ have been communicated by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
 - d. ☒ have not been made and will not be made.
 - ☐ An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
 - ☐ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(4)).
 - ☐ An English language translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(5)).
 11. ☒ A copy of the International Preliminary Examination Report (PCT/IPEA/409).
 12. ☒ A copy of the International Search Report (PCT/ISA/210).
- Items 13 to 20 below concern document(s) or information included:**
13. ☐ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
 14. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
 15. ☐ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.
 16. ☐ A **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** preliminary amendment.
 17. ☐ A substitute specification.
 18. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
 19. ☐ A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821 - 1.825.
 20. ☒ A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
 21. ☐ A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
 22. ☒ Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail
 23. ☐ Other items or information:

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

Date 01/10/02 Label No. EL58440273305
I hereby certify that on the date indicated above, I deposited this paper or fee with the U.S. Postal Service & that it was addressed for delivery to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 by "EXPRESS MAIL Post Office to Addressee" Service.

CASSANDRA LEMS *Cassandra Lems*

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (IF KNOWN SEE 37 CFR 1.105) 10/031105	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/F100/00643	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 513-4 PCT/US
---	--	---

24. The following fees are submitted: BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)) : <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO \$1040.00 <input type="checkbox"/> International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO \$890.00 <input type="checkbox"/> International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO \$740.00 <input type="checkbox"/> International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$710.00 <input type="checkbox"/> International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$100.00	CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY															
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =	\$1,040.00															
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492 (e)). <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30	\$0.00															
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width:15%;">CLAIMS</th> <th style="width:25%;">NUMBER FILED</th> <th style="width:25%;">NUMBER EXTRA</th> <th style="width:15%;">RATE</th> <th style="width:20%;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td>Total claims</td> <td>13 - 20 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>x \$18.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent claims</td> <td>2 - 3 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>x \$84.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$0.00</td> </tr> </table>	CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		Total claims	13 - 20 =	0	x \$18.00	\$0.00	Independent claims	2 - 3 =	0	x \$84.00	\$0.00	
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE													
Total claims	13 - 20 =	0	x \$18.00	\$0.00												
Independent claims	2 - 3 =	0	x \$84.00	\$0.00												
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$280.00															
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =	\$1,320.00															
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.	\$660.00															
SUBTOTAL =	\$660.00															
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492 (f)). <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30	\$0.00															
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =	\$660.00															
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31) (check if applicable). <input type="checkbox"/>	\$0.00															
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =	\$660.00															
	Amount to be: refunded charged															

- a. ☒ A check in the amount of **\$660.00** to cover the above fees is enclosed.
- b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- c. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. **08-2461** A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- d. ☐ Fees are to be charged to a credit card. **WARNING:** Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Ronald J. Baron, Esq.
 Hoffmann & Baron, LLP
 6900 Jericho Turnpike
 Syosset, New York 11791

Telephone: 516-822-3550
 Facsimile: 516-822-3582


 SIGNATURE

Ronald J. Baron

NAME

29,281

REGISTRATION NUMBER

10 January 2002

DATE

WO 01/05696

PCT/FI00/00643

1

System and method for controlling the movements of container handling device

The invention relates to a system according to the introduction of claim 1 for controlling the telescopic movements of telescopic beams in a spreader and the locking movements of twistlocks in telescopic beams.

The invention also relates to a method according to the introduction of claim 8 for controlling the telescopic movements of telescopic beams in a spreader, and the locking movements of twistlocks in telescopic beams.

- A special spreader especially designed for the purpose is used for lifting containers.
- 10 The spreader comprises a frame and two telescopic beams resting on the frame, the beams performing a telescopic movement, i.e. more exactly, a transfer movement in the direction of the longitudinal axis of the telescopic beams into and out of the spreader frame. Both the telescopic beams generally have two twistlocks. The telescopic beams grip the lifting attachments in the corners of the container with the twistlocks.
- 15 As there are containers of several different lengths, i.e. the length may vary from 20 feet to 45 feet and even over that, a general-purpose spreader has to have a telescopic movement suitable for each length.

- Spreaders are used with various forklifts and rope cranes movable on wheels. In rope cranes, the required energy is fed to the spreader with an electric cable, the spreader being provided with actuators for the necessary movements. The status information of the proximity switches used as accessories for the control system and the control commands from the control system usually travel along the same cable between the spreader and the rope crane.
- 20

- The operating system for spreaders has traditionally been electro-hydraulic, because the telescopic movements needed in spreaders generally are long linear movements. Hydraulic motors have been used as actuators in spreaders for generating a rotating movement, and hydraulic cylinders or chains have been used for generating a linear movement. A hydraulic aggregate and the actuators connected to the hydraulic aggregate require a lot of power because of their efficiency. The sliding surfaces of the telescopic parts in spreaders also require a lot of power for overcoming friction. Further, the hydraulic drive of spreaders usually contains several components, because several movements are needed in the spreaders. Such movements comprise the telescopic movement of the spreaders to the positions of 20', 40' and 45', the
- 25
- 30

turning of four twistlocks, and the use of so-called flippers. The flippers are used for transferring the spreader to the right place above the container.

The spreaders have also been problematic because of several damages and oil leaks soiling harbour terminals. In use, also relatively hard impact stresses are often directed to the spreader, stopping the operation of the spreader for the time of service or repair or possible for the time the entire device is changed. Sea climate again causes fast corrosion damages especially to surfaces, from which use takes away the protective layer of paint, thus causing the spreader to be serviced at more frequent intervals. Such services comprise, for example, the sliding surfaces between the spreader frame and the telescopic beams.

The present spreaders, with which it is possible to grip containers of different lengths by using the telescopic movement of the spreaders, are also relatively heavy in comparison with the load to be lifted, their unloaded weight being about 27%, on average, of the maximum load. A spreader with a large unloaded weight requires a considerable amount of driving energy, which again requires that the lifting gear of the cranes be dimensioned larger.

The object of the present invention is to provide a spreader, which does not contain the drawbacks present in the state-of-the-art technology.

Thus, the principal object of the invention is to provide a spreader with a reduced need for driving energy. More specifically, the need for driving energy of the spreader will be decreased without reducing the operational reliability or industrial safety of the spreader, but the unloaded weight of the spreader compared with the maximum load will be clearly reduced in comparison with the known spreaders.

The second principal object of the invention is to provide a spreader, in which it has been tried to minimise the effects of external impacts damaging the spreader. More specifically, the object of the invention is to develop the structure of the spreader so that impact stresses may be received with sufficient elasticity in all directions without exceeding the yield limit or fatigue strength of the material at any place.

It is further an object of the invention to provide a spreader with a considerably decreased need for service.

The basic idea of the spreader of the invention is that the telescopic movements of the telescopic beams of the spreader and the locking movements of the twistlocks

are controlled with the same multi-rope lever system, in which each operation of the spreader is represented by a different rope force in the multi-rope system.

The locking movements of the twistlocks here refer both to the opening and locking movements of the twistlocks.

- 5 More specifically, the invention relates to the system according to claim 1 for controlling the telescopic movements of the telescopic beams in the spreader and the locking movements of the twistlocks in the telescopic beams.

The invention also relates to the method according to claim 8 for controlling the telescopic movements of the telescopic beams in the spreader and the locking
10 movements of the twistlocks in the telescopic beams.

- In the system of the invention, the spreader includes two telescopic beams, which move inside the spreader frame. The system comprises a joint multi-rope lever system for performing the locking movements of the telescopic beams and the twistlocks, at least one actuator driving the multi-rope lever system, and a control
15 system supervising and controlling the operations of both the actuator and the lever system. In addition, the telescopic beams and the frame of the spreader include locking members for stopping the telescopic movement of the telescopic beams to a desired point in relation to the frame.

It is characteristic of the method of the invention that

- 20 - as one wants to move the telescopic beams telescopically outwards or inwards in relation to the frame of the spreader, the first locking member between both the telescopic beams and the frame of the spreader is first opened, the first locking member comprising the locking unit for the frame and the first locking point for the telescopic beam, and a rope force is generated to the multi-rope lever system for the
25 telescopic beams in the spreader for transferring the telescopic beams in relation to the frame of the spreader,
- as the second locking points in the telescopic beams meet the locking unit of the frame in the spreader, the multi-rope lever system is provided with the second rope force different from the first rope force, so that the second locking points of the
30 telescopic beams may be transferred into the locking units of the frame with the said second rope force,

- as the second locking member with the second locking point for the telescopic beam and the locking unit of the frame of the spreader is locked, the third rope force is generated to the lever system for opening and/or closing the twistlocks of the telescopic beams.

- 5 The locking members of the spreader preferably comprise locking points in the telescopic beams, the locking units in the frame of the spreader being equivalent to the said locking points.

- For performing the telescopic movements of the telescopic beams and the various locking movements of the twistlocks, the actuator has to generate force levels of different sizes to the rope system. If a rope force of, for example, 1 kN, had to be generated to the multi-rope lever system in the transfer movement between two locking points of the telescopic beam, a rope force sufficient for transferring the locking unit of the telescopic beam to the locking point would be approx. 2 kN. In this case, a rope force of 10 kN would be equivalent to the locking of the locking member (because the actuator is not used for forced opening of the locking, this mainly refers to the rope force with which the lever system still may be loaded without opening the locking). For opening the twistlock, the rope force could be, for example, 3.5-6 kN.
- 10
- 15

- With the above control system, in which the rope forces for driving the lever system in each driving mode, i.e. in each operation conducted by the multi-rope lever system, are chosen clearly different, it is possible to reliably control the spring system formed by the several ropes in the lever system. In this case the advantage is achieved that it is possible to perform both the telescopic movement of the telescopic beams and the opening and closing of the twistlocks with the same multi-rope lever system.
- 20
- 25

In the control method of the invention, it is possible to control the operation of the multi-rope lever system with the help of the control logic and the frequency converter of the control system, as the rope forces are chosen clearly different in the different driving modes.

- 30 The multi-rope lever system used in the control system of the invention is preferably common to both the telescopic beams of the spreader, and the multi-rope lever system is operated by one actuator. The actuator may, for example, be an electric motor.

By using the multi-rope lever system for performing the telescopic movements of the spreader and the locking movements of the twistlocks, and by operating the lever system by an electric motor, a considerable saving in energy costs is achieved, because the unloaded weight of the spreader compared with the maximum load is reduced by almost a half in comparison with the known systems, in which hydraulic aggregates are used combined with hydraulic motors and hydraulic cylinders or chains.

The serviceability of the control system of the invention has been improved so that the operation of the multi-rope lever system is controlled with the help of the control logic and frequency converter of the control system, and that deviations in rope forces are calculated and reported on the basis of the rope forces observed in the lever system and the target values for the rope forces. Thus, it is possible to locate the faults in the lever system, so that it is considerably easier to service the rope system.

For reducing the rolling resistance caused by the telescopic movement of the telescopic beams, support rollers and support springs affecting the support rollers are fastened to the frame of the spreader below each of the telescopic beams, the joint spring force of the support rollers and support springs being about equal to the gravity of the telescopic beam they support. With this system it is achieved that the energy demand for performing the telescopic movements of the telescopic beams in the spreader is further reduced as the telescopic beams move lightly inside the frame with the help of the support rollers.

As the supporting arms roll easily on the support rollers, the wear of the frame surfaces is considerably reduced, compared with a situation in which the telescopic beams would be moved by sliding against the sliding surfaces of the frame. Because the joint spring force of the support springs is about equal to the gravity of the telescopic beam they support, the telescopic beams are pressed against the support surface of the frame, as the telescopic beams carry a load, which further prevents the frame surfaces from wearing. Because of the way the telescopic beams move and because of the suspension, the considerable advantage is achieved that the need for service of the spreader is considerably reduced.

In an advantageous embodiment of the invention the controlling system for the control system sees to it that the twistlocks are not opened or closed before the locking units of the frame of the spreader have been locked into the locking points in the telescopic beams. For opening and closing the twistlocks, the actuator has to

generate a smaller rope force to the rope system than the force which is required for forced opening of the locking between the locking unit and the locking point. The twistlocks of the telescopic beams are provided with forced springs. These structural solutions achieve the advantage that the rotation of the twistlocks is as safe as possible.

As the telescopic beams used in the spreader of the invention are hit by an external impact in the direction of the longitudinal axis of the telescopic beams, causing the telescopic beams to transfer from the first position in the direction of the longitudinal axis of the telescopic beams in relation to the frame to the second position in the direction of the longitudinal axis of the telescopic beams in relation to the frame, the elastic strain accumulated to the lever system returns the telescopic beams to their former position together with the shape of the form-locking groove in the locking member. Thus the considerably big advantage may be achieved that the system of the invention endures and suppresses well impacts directed to the spreader.

In the control system of the invention, the positions of containers of various sizes are clearly marked to the telescopic beams by locking points, which include a rise, i.e. a driving ramp, and a form-locking groove. The locking unit provided with a locking spring and a magnet adjusting the operation of the spring in the frame of the spreader is equivalent to the locking points. With the locking members of the invention the advantage is achieved that the impact-like loads may be restricted to the desired size by changing the shape of the form-locking groove or the spring force of the locking spring in the locking unit.

It may be noted of the further advantages to be achieved with the control system of the invention that:

- properties of a commercial frequency converter may be utilised in the invention, the properties being equal to and partly better than in an electro-hydraulic drive. For example, the measuring of the torque of the actuator gives a chance to preventative maintenance;
- due to the multi-rope lever system common to the telescopic beams, the inclined telescopic beams of the spreader operate as counter weights for each other.

The state of the art is represented by US 3 536 350, which discloses a spreader, the recognition of the container position and the transfer movement of the telescopic beams into and out of the frame of which has been improved. However, this patent

publication does not disclose the central features of the control system for the telescopic movements of the telescopic beams of the spreader of the invention or for the locking movements of the twistlocks of the telescopic beams.

The invention is next described in more detail, referring to the enclosed drawings, in which

Fig. 1A is a schematic basic view of the spreader seen from the side;

Fig. 1 B is a schematic basic view of the detail encircled in Fig. 1 A, enlarged and seen from the side;

Fig. 1C shows the detail 1B of Fig. 1A seen from the front, i.e. the direction I in Fig. 1B;

Fig. 1D is a top view and a schematic basic view of the spreader in Fig. 1A, i.e. seen from the direction II in Fig. 1;

Fig. 2 is a schematic view of the rope pulleys in the lever system of the spreader of the invention;

Fig. 3A shows the twistlock at the end of the telescopic beam and the rope leverage of the lever system used for controlling it, seen from the direction III in Fig. 1;

Fig. 3B is a top view of the twistlock and rope leverage of Fig. 3;

Fig. 4A shows the structure of the locking unit from the front, i.e. from the direction IV in Fig. 1;

Fig. 4B shows the structure of the locking unit seen from the side;

Fig. 4C shows the structure of the locking point seen from the side; and

Fig. 5 is a schematic view of the control logic of the control system.

The movements of the flippers have been realised with separate gear motor drives. The more exact structural principle of the twistlocks and flippers is not shown in more detail, because their structure is similar to the one generally used in spreaders.

Fig. 1A shows the main parts of the spreader from the side. The spreader comprises the frame 2 with telescopic beams 3 moving telescopically inside. The ends of the telescopic beams are provided with twistlocks 6.

In Figures 1B and 1C, the detail encircled in Fig. 1 is shown enlarged and seen slightly from different directions. In Fig. 1B, the detail in question is shown from the same direction as in Fig. 1A; in Fig. 1C, this detail again is shown from the direction I in Fig. 1B. The detail shows the structure of the movement support 5 of the telescopic beam attached to the corner 21; 21a of the second frame.

Fig. 1D shows in a more exact manner the way the telescopic beams 3 are placed in the frame 2. The figure also shows the rope pulleys 22; 22a, 22b, 22c, 22d used for lifting the frame.

In Fig. 2, there is shown the diagram of the principle of the structure of the rope pulleys 41 used in the invention for transferring the telescopic beams 3 of the lever system 4. The lever system 4 comprises two identical rope pulleys 41; 41' and 41''. The rope pulleys 41' and 41'' facing both the telescopic beams of the lever system is operated by the common actuator 7.

Figs. 3A and 3B show the effect the rope pulleys 41 affecting the telescopic beams 3; 3a, 3b described in Fig. 2 have on the rope leverages 42 of the lever system 4 operating the twistlocks 6.

Figs. 4A, 4B and 4C show the structure of the locking members 8 used for locking the telescopic beams. The locking members include the locking point 81, located on the upper surface of the telescopic beams 3, and the respective locking unit 82 at the place of the telescopic beams in the frame 2 so that when moving the telescopic beam in the direction of the longitudinal axis into or out of the frame, the locking unit hits the locking points on the upper surface of the telescopic beams.

In Fig. 5, there is shown the control system 9 for controlling the lever system of the spreader. The physical placement of the parts in the control system into the structure of the spreader is apparent from Fig. 2.

Fig. 1A shows the frame 2 forming the load-bearing structure of the spreader 1, with telescopic beams 3; 3a, 3b installed in the frame. Seen from the centre of the spreader frame, the outer ends of the telescopic beams have the ends 31; 31a, 31b. Inside both the ends there are two twistlocks 6, which are used for gripping the corners of a container. Inside the end 31a of the telescopic beam, there are twistlocks 6; 6a, 6b, and inside the face 31b of the telescopic beam, there are twistlocks 6; 6c, 6d. Rope pulleys 22; 22a, 22b, 22c, 22d for lifting the frame are attached to the outer corners 21; 21a, 21b of the frame. Only the rope pulleys 22a

and 22b are seen in the figure. The upper end of the rope 23 driving the rope pulleys is fastened to the crane, which is not shown in closer detail here.

The movement support 5 shown in Figs. 1B and 1C comprises the support roller 51 and the spring 52. The springs 52 for the movement supports below each of the telescopic beams are dimensioned to bear only the weight of the telescopic beam 3; 3a or 3; 3b above. The movement support 5 is attached to the corner 21a of the frame from the support point. The support roller is able to perform a vertical movement in the controlled guide 53. The telescopic beams are supported on the movement supports 5, as the beam is transferred to a new position. The movement supports include the support rollers 51 provided with springs, which compress when the container is lifted. In this case, the container mainly burdens the support surface 2' in the frame. The material for the support roller 51 is chosen to have a small modulus of elasticity so that the surface pressure against the paint surface of the telescopic beam 3 would be very low and the paint would endure use for a long time. By using movement supports with support rollers, the resistance to motion and wear of the telescopic beams is reduced during the transfer movement. The solution saves the dimensional power of the equipment.

The actuator 7 operating the hoist blocks in Fig. 2 includes the motor 70, the gearing 71, and the brake 72. The force of the actuator 7 is transferred to the rope pulleys 41; 41', 41'' of the lever systems 4; 4', 4'' through the drive shaft 73 connected to the actuator.

The rope pulleys 41', 41'' are connected to the drive shaft 73 of the actuator with the rope drums 411, 411', 411b'', which thus operate resting on the bearing of the secondary gear (= drive shaft 73) of the gearing 71. The ropes 415a, 415b and 415c and 415d begin from the rope drums 411. The ropes 415a and 415b start from above the rope drum 411', and the ropes 415c and 415d from below the rope drum 411'', respectively.

The rope 415a travels via the rope pulley 412a attached fast to the frame 2 to the pulleys 413a and 414a through the telescopic beam 3; 3a. The end of the rope is attached to the point 416a of the telescopic beam 3a. A two-rope tackle block is here formed with the help of the pulley 414a. The number of ropes in the tackle block may be varied according to the desired force.

The rope 415b travels through the rope pulley 417a attached to the frame 2 of the spreader, fastening to the point 418a of the telescopic beam 3a.

The fastening of the ropes 415b and 415d to the telescopic beam 3, 3b by using the parts 412b, 413b, 414b, 416b, 417b, and 418b of the rope pulley 41' is identical with the arrangement of the rope pulley 41' of the telescopic beam 3; 3a. The frequency converter used for driving the motor and its connection is not described in more detail in this connection.

Figs. 3A and 3B show the effect of the rope pulley 41' of the telescopic beam 3a on the twistlocks 6; 6a, 6b in the telescopic beam 3; 4a via the rope leverage 42'. The rope leverage 42'' for the lever system 4'' of the second telescopic beam 3b is similar to the rope leverage 42' for the lever system 4' of the telescopic beam 3a in the figure.

The rope pulley 41' moving the telescopic beam 3a affects the springs 420; 420a, 420b via the lever 421. The lever has three lever arms 421a, 421b and 421c which rotate round the bearing 421d. The springs 420a and 420b affect the lever arm 421a, the rope pulley 414a affects the lever 421b, and the transferring arms 423a and 423b affect the lever arm 421c. The transferring arms 423; 423a, 423b, of which one the pulls and the other pushes the levers 425a and 425b, are used for causing a rotating movement to the twistlocks 6; 6a and 6; 6b. The travel stop 426; 426b, 426b defines the area of movement for the lever 421, as the twistlock rotates 90 degrees. The proximity switches related with the travel stop 426 are not shown separately, as their operation and structure are conventional. As mentioned above, both the telescopic beams 3; 3a, 3b have a respective arrangement for the rope leverage 42; 42', 42'' operating the twistlocks.

Figs. 4A, 4B and 4C show the structure of the locking members. As many locking points 8; 81 are attached to the upper surface of the telescopic beams 3, as there are containers of different sizes. Each locking unit 8; 82 of the frame again includes the locking roller 822 and the electrical magnet 821, with which the locking force caused by the locking spring 823 is reversed. The parts 822, 823 and 824 of the locking unit 82 attach to the equipment frame 824 of the locking unit, the said equipment frame again being fastened to the frame 2, at the place of the telescopic beam 3.

The locking point 81 again includes a form-locking groove 811 and the driving ramps 812; 812a, 812b leading to this groove. The angles of inclination for the driving ramps are determined so that the extent of the force directed to the locking roller 822 indicates the location of the locking roller in relation to the form-locking groove to the logic. The travelling range of the locking spring 823 is restricted so

that in the area between the locking units 82, the locking roller is situated clearly free from the upper surface of the telescopic beam.

The control logic circuit C for the control system 9 shown in Fig. 5 includes a speed controller, which defines the required driving speed according to the driving mode chosen. The rough determination of location is calculated in the logic C3 with the pulse detector 90. The force directed to the rope is determined from the inverter current 92 in the logic C2. A weighing detector may as well be used for measuring the force, because the control system described later does not set any restrictions regarding the choice of the actuator. The magnitudes of the rope forces occurring in the driving mode may be taught to the logic.

The control of the multi-rope lever system of the invention is next described in the driving mode A, in which the telescopic beams 3 are driven to a new position, for example, inwards. The logic C opens the brake 72 and releases the locking rollers 822 of the locking units 82 of both the telescopic beams from the form-locking groove 811 by lifting the locking rollers upwards with the help of the electric magnets 821. The motor 70 is started, after which the locking rollers 822 are returned back down after about 2 seconds. The ropes 415a and 415d of the rope pulleys 41 in the rope leverage 4 tighten as they are reeled to the rope drums 411' and 411''. Simultaneously, the ropes 415b and 415c are released from the rope drums 411' and 411'', making it possible for the telescopic beams 3; 3a and 3; 3b to move into the frame 2. The telescopic beams move with a small resistance to motion on the support rollers 51 of the movement supports. The springs 420 of the lever systems 42 operating the twistlocks are chosen so that the precompression force of the springs is not exceeded, although the rope pulley tends to rotate the levers 421 affecting the springs in both the telescopic beams. At this stage, a rope force of approx. 1 kN has to be generated to the rope system of the motor 70 for moving the telescopic beams inwards. The rope force in question is seen as torque on the motor shaft 73.

The frequency converter 91 (inverter) controlled by the logic C accelerates the actuator to the field weakening area of the motor 70, thus driving the telescopic beams 3 fast to a new position. The travelled range is calculated with the pulse detector 90, and as the control system detects the approaching target area, i.e. the next locking points in the telescopic beams are detected to approach the locking unit of the frame, the control logic of the control system decelerates the speed of the telescopic beams before the driving ramp 812 of the next locking point 81. At this stage, a rope force of approx. 2 kN has to be generated to the rope system for

overriding the resistance to motion caused by the driving ramp 812. As the movement of the telescopic beams continues, the locking roller 822 causes the torque of the motor 70 to change to opposite upon arriving to the form-locking groove 811, which is seen as a change in the current measurement. The logic C drives the torque of the motor to zero. The locking rollers 822 are then at the right place in the form-locking grooves 811 of the locking points 81 of the parts.

The locking springs 823 of the locking unit 82 are chosen so that the locking force compressing the locking rollers 822 to the form-locking groove 811 is sufficient to keep the telescopic beams 3 at place in relation to the frame 2, irrespective of the operation of the twistlocks 6. Typically, the locking force is such that a rope force of approx. 10 kN has to be directed to the lever system before the locking roller 822 rises away from the form-locking groove 811. The operation of the twistlocks again requires a rope force of about 3.5 - 6 kN. On the other hand, forces larger than a certain limit caused, for example, by an external impact in the axial direction, i.e. in the direction of the longitudinal axis of the telescopic beams, pass through the locking between the locking unit and the locking point in a desired size. The impact energy adheres to the ropes 415 as elastic strain, which is sufficient to return the locking rollers 822 to their initial position in relation to the locking point 81, due to the good efficiency of the whole system.

When required, the program of the logic C detects the deviation with the help of the pulse detector 90 and returns the telescopic beams back to their initial position. With the help of the control logic of the control system and the frequency converter, it is further possible to continuously observe the status of the ropes in the multi-rope system, and to calculate and report the deviations on the basis of the detected rope forces and the target values Fref of the rope forces, so that the preventative maintenance of the lever system becomes considerably simple. By changing the compression force of the locking spring 823 and/or the angles of inclination of the form-locking groove 811 of the locking point the relation of the locking forces compared with the rope force required for operating the rope leverages 42 of the lever system may be modified.

The placement of the ropes 415 (see Fig. 2) in relation to the telescopic beams 3; 3a, 3b has the consequence that the telescopic beams in question act as counter weights for each other, as the frame 2 of the spreader is in an inclined position in the longitudinal direction and the telescopic beams 3; 3a, 3b have a differing height position in relation to each other.

The spring force of the springs 52 having a lifting effect on the support rollers 51 of the movement support 5 is such that the springs annul the load caused by the telescopic beams 3 and pressing the support rollers downwards. As the lifting of a container is initiated, the support rollers 51 are pressed downwards as the support of the telescopic beam is mainly transferred to the support 2' of the frame. The wearing sliding surfaces of the frame are thus avoided.

Respectively, when driving the telescopic beams 3 outwards (driving mode B), the brake 72 of the actuator is opened, and the locking rollers 822 are released from the form-locking grooves 811. The ropes 415b, 415c of the rope pulleys 42 performing the telescopic movement of the telescopic beams rotate to the rope drums 411' and 411'', and the ropes 415a, 415d are released from the said rope drums. The ropes 415b, 415c affect the points 418a and 418b of the telescopic beams 3; 3a, 3b.

When in the driving mode C, one wishes to have the twistlocks 6; 6a, 6b, 6c and 6d open, the brake 72 of the actuator is opened and the ropes 415a and 415d are tightened with the motor 70 so much that the compression springs 420 affecting the twistlocks are stressed more. The travel stops 426 restrict the said movement together with the proximity switches. The locking rollers 822 provide a counter force to the rope force originating from the ropes 415a and 415d, thus keeping the telescopic beams 3; 3a, 3b in place. As one wishes to close the twistlocks 6 for gripping a container, the brake 72 is opened and the motor 70 is used for decelerating the closing speed of the twistlocks the compression springs 420 cause to the twistlocks 6. The proximity switches stop the closing movement of the twistlocks as the levers 421 lie against the travel stops 426. The movement of the compression springs has thus been relayed to the lever arms 425 operating the twistlocks 6 through the transferring rods 423. In each telescopic beam, the first transferring rod pulls and the second one presses. The lever arms 425 rotate the twistlocks 6 for a constant angle of 90 degrees. If the rope 415a or 415b breaks, the twistlocks always remain locked. The increased safety includes that there always are at least two compression springs in both telescopic beams.

The above description of the invention is only intended to visualise the basic idea of the invention. However, one skilled in the art may carry out its details with several alternative ways within the scope of the enclosed patent claims.

Thus, as the rope forces present in the multi-rope lever system deviate considerably from each other in the different driving modes, the logic C may detect possible deviations from the normal operation from the current measurement of the

frequency converter and to anticipate possible maintenance tasks. For example, when rope forces appear as two current values deviating from each other in the measurement indicates that the ropes have stretched differently. Fref is the force, which is programmed into the logic C1 to indicate the forces occurring in normal use. For preventative maintenance, a directive current value may be given to the control logic circuit at different stages of the working cycle. Considerable deviations are reported to the control cabin of the crane.

In the example described above, the rope forces directed to the lever system are determined as directed to the rope drums 411. However, it is quite possible to determine the rope forces also in other parts of the rope pulleys 41 or rope leverages 42 and to control the operation of the lever system on the basis of these rope force values.

Claims

1. System for controlling the telescopic movements of the telescopic beams in the spreader (1) and the locking movements of the twistlocks in the telescopic beams, the spreader including two telescopic beams (3) moving inside the spreader frame (2), characterised in that
- 5 (2), characterised in that
- for stopping the telescopic movement of the telescopic beams (3) at a desired place in relation to the frame, the telescopic beams (3) and the frame (2) of the spreader in the system have locking members (8);
 - the system comprises a joint multi-rope lever system (4) for performing the
- 10 telescopic movement of the telescopic beams (3) and the locking movements of the twistlocks (6);
- the system includes at least one actuator (7) operating the multi-rope lever system;
 - the system includes a control system (9) for supervising and controlling the operations of the actuator and the lever system.
- 15 2. Control system according to claim 1, characterised in that rope forces of different sizes have to be generated to the multi-rope lever system for performing the telescopic movements of the telescopic beams (3) and the different locking movements (4) of the twistlocks (6).
3. Control system according to claim 2, characterised in that a first rope force
- 20 has to be generated to the lever system, as the telescopic beams (3) perform the telescopic movement; a second rope force, as the locking points (81) of the telescopic beams (3) move to the place of the locking units (82) of the frame (2); and a third rope, as the twistlocks (6) of the telescopic beams perform the locking movements; and that the first, second and third rope force differ clearly from each
- 25 other.
4. Control system according to one of the claims 1 – 3, characterised in that the locking members (8) include the locking point (81), comprising a drive ramp (812) and a form-locking groove (811), and that the locking parts (82) include a locking roller (822) fitting into the form-locking groove and a locking spring (823) locking
- 30 the locking roller, the compression force of the spring being adjustable, for example, with a magnet (821).

5. Control system according to one of the claims 1 - 4, characterised in that the multi-rope lever system (4) is common to both the telescopic beams (3; 3a, 3b) of the spreader, and that different rope forces may be generated to the multi-rope lever system with one actuator (7).
- 5 6. Control system according to claim 5, characterised in that the external force directed to the telescopic beams (3) is partly neutralised by the elasticity of the multi-rope lever system (4) and partly by the interaction between the locking point (81) of the telescopic beams and the locking unit (82) of the frame.
7. Control system according to one of the claims 1 - 6, characterised in that the
10 telescopic beams (3) operate as counter weights for each other with the help of the multi-rope lever system (4) and the support rollers (51), as the first telescopic beam is at a different height from the second telescopic beam.
8. Method for controlling the telescopic movements of the telescopic beams (3) in the spreader (1) and the locking movements of the twistlocks (6) in the telescopic
15 beams by a joint multi-rope lever system (4), characterised in that
- as one wishes to move the telescopic beams (3) telescopically outwards or inwards in relation to the frame (2) of the spreader, the first locking member (8) between both the telescopic beams of the spreader and the frame is opened, the locking member including the locking unit (82) of the frame and the first locking point (81)
20 of the telescopic beam; and the first rope force is generated to the multi-rope lever system (4) of the telescopic beams in the spreader for moving the telescopic beams in relation to the frame of the spreader;
 - as the second locking points (81) in the telescopic beams (3) arrive at the place of the locking unit (82) of the spreader frame, the second rope force is generated to the
25 multi-rope lever system (4), which force differs from the first rope force, and with which second force the second locking points (81) of the telescopic beams may be transferred to the locking unit (82) of the frame;
 - as the second locking member with the second locking point (81) of the telescopic beam and the locking unit (82) of the spreader frame has been locked, the third rope
30 force is generated to the multi-rope lever system for closing and/or opening the twistlocks (6), the third rope force being different from the first and the second rope force.

9. Method according to claim 8, characterised in that the operation of the multi-rope lever system (4) is controlled with the help of the control logic of the control system (9) and the frequency converter, and that the deviations in rope forces are calculated and reported on the basis of the detected rope forces in the lever system
- 5 and the target values for the rope forces.
10. Method according to one of the claims 8 - 9, characterised in that as an external impact in the direction of the longitudinal axis of the telescopic beams hits the telescopic beams (3), causing the telescopic beams to move from the first telescopic beam position in relation to the frame into the second telescopic beam position in relation to the frame, the elastic strain accumulated to the lever system
- 10 (4) returns the telescopic beams to their initial position together with the locking member (8).

1/4




Fig. 1A




Fig. 1B

Fig. 1C

2/4




Fig. 1D




Fig. 2

3/4




Fig. 3A




Fig. 3B




Fig. 4A




Fig. 4B




Fig. 4c




Fig. 5

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

(ORIGINAL, DESIGN, NATIONAL STAGE OF PCT, SUPPLEMENTAL,
DIVISIONAL, CONTINUATION OR CIP)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

TYPE OF DECLARATION

This declaration is of the following type: *(check one)*

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original | <input type="checkbox"/> National Stage PCT |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental | <input type="checkbox"/> Divisional |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Design | <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation-in-Part (CIP) |

INVENTORSHIP IDENTIFICATION

NOTE: *If the inventors are each not the inventors of all the claims an explanation of the facts, including the ownership of all the claims at the time the last claimed invention was made, should be submitted.*

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONTROLLING THE MOVEMENTS OF CONTAINER HANDLING DEVICE

the specification of which: *(complete (a), (b) or (c))*

- (a) ☐ is attached hereto.
- (b) ☒ was filed on January 10, 2002 as
☒ Serial No. 10/031,103 or
☐ Express Mail No. _____, as Serial No. not yet known
and was amended on _____. *(If applicable)*
- (c) ☐ was described and claimed in PCT International Application No. PCT/
filed on _____ and as amended under PCT Article 19 on _____. *(If any)*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF REVIEW OF PAPERS AND DUTY OF CANDOR

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above, and that the filing of said specification, if heretofore filed, was authorized by me.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

CLAIM OF PRIORITY OF EARLIER FOREIGN APPLICATION(S) UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d)

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:

(List prior foreign/PCT application(s) filed within 12 months (6 months for design) prior to this U.S. application.)

NOTE: Where item (c) is entered above and the International Application which designated the U.S. claimed priority check item (e), enter the details below and make the priority claim.

COUNTRY (orPCT)	APPLICATION NO.	DATE OF FILING (Day/Month/Year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 USC §119
Finland	991609	15/07/99	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

CLAIM FOR BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION(S) UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119(e)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

(List prior U.S. provisional applications.)

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE (Day/Month/Year)

CLAIM FOR BENEFIT OF EARLIER U.S./PCT APPLICATION(S) UNDER 35 U.S.C. 120

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) or PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America that is/are listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

PLEASE SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Ronald J. Baron, Esq.
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP
6900 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset, NY 11791

PLEASE DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:

Justin K. Holmes, Esq.
(516) 822-3550

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURE(S)

Full Name of Sole or First Inventor: Ovet Jussila

Country of Citizenship: Finland

Residence Address: Puolimatankatu 42, FIN-0583 Hyvinkaa, Finland

Post Office Address: Same as Above

Date: Hyvinkaa 20.2.2002 Inventor's signature

Full Name of Second Joint Inventor: Jari Kaiturimäki

Country of Citizenship: Finland

Residence Address: Paatsamatie 6 A 12, FIN-00320 Helsinki, Finland

Post Office Address: Same as Above

Date: Hyvinkaa 20.2.2002 Inventor's signature

NOTE: All above spaces identifying inventors must be completed or deleted before any inventor executes this application

(List prior U.S. applications or PCT international applications designating the U.S. for benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120.)

U.S. APPLICATIONS

STATUS (Check One)

U.S. SERIAL NO.	U.S. FILING DATE (Day/Month/Year)	Patented	Pending	Abandoned
0 /		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
0 /		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

PCT APPLICATIONS DESIGNATING THE U.S.

STATUS (Check One)

PCT APPLN. NO.	PCT FILING DATE (Day/Month/Year)	U.S. SERIAL NOS ASSIGNED (If any)	Patented	Pending	Abandoned
PCT/ FI00/00643	13/07/2000		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
PCT/			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

35 USC 119 PRIORITY CLAIM, IF ANY, FOR ABOVE LISTED U.S./PCT APPLICATIONS

PRIORITY APPLICATION NO.	PRIORITY COUNTRY	FILING DATE (Day/Month/Year)	ISSUE DATE (Day/Month/Year)

POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office in connection therewith:

Charles R. Hoffmann, Reg. No. 24,102; Ronald J. Baron, Reg. No. 29,281; Gerald T. Bodner, Reg. No. 30,449; Alan M. Sack, Reg. No. 31,874; A. Thomas Kammer, Reg. No. 28,226; B. Glenn Schroeder, Reg. No. 34,720; Glenn T. Henneberger, Reg. No. 36,074; Irving N. Felt, Reg. No. 28,601; Anthony E. Bennett, Reg. No. 40,940; Gregory W. Bachmann, Reg. No. 44,593; Steven T. Zuschlag, Reg. No. 43,309; Susan A. Sipos, Reg. No. 43,128; Kevin E. McDermott, Reg. No. 35,946; Rod S. Turner, Reg. No. 38,639; Robert C. Morriss, Reg. No. 42,910; James F. Harrington, Reg. No. 44,744; Algis Anilionis, Reg. No. 36,995; Justin K. Holmes, Reg. No. 42,666; and Lauren T. Emr, Reg. No. 46,139 each of them of HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP, 6900 Jericho Turnpike, Syosset, New York 11791; and Daniel A. Scola, Jr., Reg. No. 29,855; Salvatore J. Abbruzzese, Reg. No. 30,152; John Sopko, Reg. No. 41,321; Gloria K. Szakiel, Reg. No. 45,149; Mark E. Baron, Reg. No. 46,150; Ludomir A. Budzyn, Reg. No. 40,540; Christina L. Warrick, Reg. No. 45,690; Linda T. Parker, Reg. No. 46,046; Robert M. Rodrick, Reg. No. 27,999; Rohini K. Garg, Reg. No. 45,272; Joy I. Farber, Reg. No. 44,103; and Anna-Lisa Gallo, Reg. No. P-50,279 each of them of HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP, 1055 Parsippany Boulevard, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054.